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A survey study conducted by researchers in May 2020 (Wang et al., 2020) found that college student's mental health was negatively impacted by the pandemic. The current study aims to understand whether the conditions changed during the past year.

Research Aims

Aim 1: Our hypothesis is that the frequency and severity of depression and anxiety will be lower than in the previous study since students' familiarity with living in a pandemic has increased over the past year.

Aim 2: Coping mechanisms will also be compared with the previous study to see if there were significant changes, and whether there were new strategies students used to adapt to various new environments.

Methods

A survey will be administered to students of Texas A&M University via email again.

Demographics and Standard Questionnaires

The study collected data on age, gender, classification, program major, and living situation. The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) screeners were administered to assess the severity of depression and anxiety symptoms occurring in the past two weeks.

Stressors and Coping Mechanisms

Survey contained a list of Academic, Health, and Lifestyle concerns and respondents to state what coping mechanisms they used to mitigate pandemic concerns.

PHQ-9

- The mean score of all classifications decreased from 10 to 6
- Freshman had the largest decrease of all classifications, from 11.61 to 7.1
- All classifications dropped a level of severity (e.g. moderate to mild)

GAD-7

- The university's average GAD-7 score has decreased by over 60%
- Decreasing scores for higher classification trend continued
- Students scored lower on GAD-7 than PHQ-9 (most likely depressed)

Expected Results

Disclaimer: The following results are not accurate, they are only the researchers' expectations.

Study Implications

This study contributes to knowledge about students' well-being at various stages of a pandemic. The main practical implication is for providing a framework of data and analysis for future pandemics, while targeting issues at certain stages of a pandemic so that universities are better equipped to provide resources to their students.

Future Work

An adaptation of our method is to rank academic, lifestyle, and health-related concerns with different importance and cluster them into “regions,” drawing from previous data on how often they appear and how severe they are reported to be. This mechanism would aid in distinguishing which issues are essential for colleges to address, and which issues seldom appear.

Regions

A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to show the impact of gender and classification on PHQ-9 scores, which assumes a normal distribution. In a real world implication where multiple sources are investigated, normal distribution may not be correct to assume and would be replaced with a non-parametric test i.e. Kruskal-Wallis. In this scenario, a non-parametric test would allow for a better separation of means and significance levels.

Statistical Analysis Methods

A questionnaire and interview are conducted to obtain data from research subjects in which they are aware they are taking part of a scientific study. This documented behavior may be indicative of “true” behavior. This can be circumvented by covert observation, where multiple sources are investigated, normal distribution may not be correct to assume and would be replaced with a non-parametric test i.e. Kruskal-Wallis. In this scenario, a non-parametric test would allow for a better separation of means and significance levels.
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