Student Research Week

Topic Judge's Score She	et for Poster	Competitions
Student did not attend		

Session Code:	
Poster #:	
Student Last Name:	

INSTRUCTIONS – Please do not tabulate your scores; this will be done by the SRW Committee

Content:	Needs Improvement		Average		Above Average	
The research has merit and makes a contribution to the field of study		2	3	4	5	6
The abstract accurately summarized the research presented		2	3	4	5	6
The introduction provided short, yet pertinent information about the project		2	3	4	5	6
The methodology/theoretical framework was appropriate for the research		2	3	4	5	6
Appropriate methods of analysis or interpretation were utilized		2	3	4	5	6
The results/arguments/conclusions were detailed and explained accurately		2	3	4	5	6
The research was well discussed and presentation provided recommendations for future research and/or practical applications or relevance		2	3	4	5	6
Presentation Delivery:						
The presenter was comfortable presenting the topic and fielding questions	1	2	3	4	5	6
Student's mannerisms (eye contact, gesture, posture and vocal expressiveness) show enthusiasm and enhanced presentation delivery	1	2	3	4	5	6
Student clearly and accurately conveyed the information utilizing personal knowledge of the subject and poster (<i>if available</i>)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Rate of speech and language is consistent, appropriate and not distracting	1	2	3	4	5	6
Student exuded poise and confidence, talked audibly and owned the presentation	1	2	3	4	5	6
Poster Quality:						
The poster/visual aids were accurately presented, easy to see, and complemented student's presentation	1	2	3	4	5	6
Information on the poster (abstract, introduction, procedure, discussion, etc.) was well-organized, grammatically correct, and easy to follow	1	2	3	4	5	6
Poster was well designed with consistent color schemes, font sizes and background	1	2	3	4	5	6
All figures, charts, images, and graphs were properly presented and contained relevant information to the topic	1	2	3	4	5	6

Comments: